

Impact of Digital Screen Exposure on Cognitive and Behavioral Development in Children: A Review

Anjana Samuel¹, Yashika Mishra², Arpita Nag³, Meenal Caleb⁴, R.K. Saini⁵, Diwakar Shukla⁶

¹Principal, New Life Institute of Nursing Baikunthpur, C.G.

²Asst. Professor, T.S. Mishra College of Nursing, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

³Asst. Professor, T.S. Mishra College of Nursing, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

⁴Prof cum Principal, Sainath College of Nursing Singrauli, MP

⁵Principal Nims nursing college, Nims University

⁶Principal, Institute of Nursing Research Centre Surajpur, C.G.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Anjana Samuel, Principal, New life institute of nursing Baikunthpur C.G.

E-mail:

anjusamuel12@gmail.com

GFNPSS-International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research is a journal of open access. In this journal, we allow all types of articles to be distributed freely and accessible under the terms of the creative common attribution- non-commercial-share. This allows the authors, readers and scholars and general public to read, use and to develop non-commercially work, as long as appropriate credit is given and the newly developed work are licensed with similar terms.

How to cite this article: Samuel A, Mishra Y, Nag A, Caleb M, Saini RK, Shukla D. Impact of Digital Screen Exposure on Cognitive and Behavioral Development in Children: A Review. GFNPSS-IJMR 2026; 7:2: 3271-3273

Submitted: 05 February 2026: **Accepted:** 15 February 2026: **Published:** 28 February 2026

A key element of providing safe healthcare is infection prevention and control, or IPC, especially in community health settings where exposure risks are high and resources may be scarce. In order to prevent healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), nursing students must have sufficient IPC knowledge and suitable behaviors as future frontline healthcare practitioners. With a focus on community health settings in India, this study examines the available data on nursing students' knowledge and practices related to infection prevention and control. Current training gaps, obstacles to successful implementation, and the function of educational interventions in enhancing IPC competences are all highlighted in the review. Research indicates that although theoretical understanding may be moderate, there is still inconsistency in the actual use of common precautions. To increase compliance, simulation-based learning, structured training programs, and ongoing monitoring are crucial. Ensuring patient safety, lowering the spread of infections, and advancing public health all depend on strengthening IPC teaching at the undergraduate level.

Keywords: Infection Prevention; Nursing Students; Community Health; Standard Precautions; Healthcare-Associated Infections; Hand Hygiene

Introduction

A scientific and methodical strategy called infection prevention and control, or IPC, aims to stop infections from harming patients and medical personnel.¹ A significant worldwide health concern, healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) impact millions of people each year and raise morbidity, death, and medical expenses.²

Because of their limited infrastructure, poor sterilizing facilities, and uneven adherence to conventional procedures, community health settings—such as primary health centers, sub-centers, outreach clinics, and home-based care

environments—present particular infection risks³. Because they might not have the real-world experience or confidence to apply IPC measures, nursing students completing clinical training in such environments are especially at risk.

Since infectious diseases continue to be a major problem in India, IPC training is a crucial part of nursing education.⁴ Healthcare personnel, especially students, must closely follow standard measures such as hand cleanliness, using personal protection equipment (PPE), safe injection techniques, and managing biomedical waste, according to the World Health Organization (WHO).⁵

Although IPC concepts are included in nursing courses, research shows that students' compliance and understanding levels vary.⁶ Thus, it is essential to evaluate nursing students' IPC knowledge and practice in order to pinpoint training gaps and create focused interventions.

Concept of Infection Prevention and Control

Evidence-based procedures known as IPC are intended to stop the spread of infectious diseases in medical environments.⁷ It covers both transmission-based and standard precautions.

Standard Precautions

Standard precautions are applied to all patients regardless of diagnosis and include:

- Hand hygiene
- Use of PPE (gloves, masks, gowns)
- Respiratory hygiene
- Safe injection practices
- Environmental cleaning
- Biomedical waste management⁸

Transmission-Based Precautions

These extra precautions, which include contact, droplet, and airborne precautions, are applied to patients who are known or suspected to be afflicted with highly transmissible diseases.^{8,9}

Community health settings require adaptation of these precautions to suit available resources, making knowledge and improvisation skills essential for nursing students.

Importance of IPC in Community Health Settings

Community settings differ significantly from tertiary hospitals. Limited sterilization equipment, irregular water supply, overcrowding, and inadequate waste disposal systems increase infection risks¹⁰.

Nursing students posted in rural or community health centers often perform procedures such as immunization, wound dressing, antenatal care, and home visits. Inadequate IPC practices in such settings can lead to:

- Cross-infection among patients
- Occupational exposure to blood-borne pathogens
- Spread of communicable diseases
- Increased healthcare costs¹¹

In addition to protecting patients, appropriate IPC procedures prevent healthcare personnel against work-related risks include needlestick injuries and exposure to HIV¹², hepatitis B, and tuberculosis.

Knowledge of IPC Among Nursing Students

Knowledge is the foundation of safe clinical practice. Studies conducted in various parts of India report moderate theoretical knowledge regarding hand hygiene and PPE usage among nursing students¹³.

The cornerstone of safe therapeutic practice is knowledge. Nursing students' theoretical knowledge of hand hygiene and PPE usage is moderate, according to studies done in different parts of India.¹³

However, there are still misunderstandings about:

- Duration of handwashing
- Correct sequence of donning and doffing PPE
- Proper segregation of biomedical waste
- Indications for transmission-based precautions

These knowledge gaps are caused by a lack of ongoing reinforcement and insufficient practical training.¹⁴

Educational background, year of study, and prior clinical exposure significantly influence knowledge levels. Final-year students generally demonstrate better understanding compared to first-year students, indicating the role of clinical experience¹⁵.

Practice of IPC Among Nursing Students

While knowledge may be satisfactory, adherence to practice often remains suboptimal. Observational studies show inconsistent hand hygiene compliance and improper use of PPE in clinical postings¹³.

Common barriers affecting practice include:

- Time constraints
- Inadequate supervision
- Shortage of supplies
- Lack of monitoring
- Poor role modeling by senior staff¹⁰

In community health settings, additional challenges such as limited infrastructure and lack of infection control committees further affect compliance.

Practical training through simulation labs, demonstration, and return demonstration methods significantly improves skill retention and confidence¹⁴.

Educational Interventions to Improve IPC

Structured educational interventions have shown positive outcomes in enhancing knowledge and compliance. Effective strategies include:

1. Simulation-based training
2. Workshops and skill demonstrations
3. Continuous professional development programs

4. Periodic assessments and audits
5. Integration of IPC modules into community postings¹⁵

Use of audiovisual aids, case-based discussions, and competency-based evaluations strengthens practical understanding.

Regular monitoring and feedback mechanisms improve long-term adherence to IPC guidelines.

Role of Nursing Educators and Institutions

Nursing institutions play a vital role in promoting IPC standards. They must ensure:

- Updated curriculum aligned with national and WHO guidelines
- Availability of simulation laboratories
- Adequate clinical supervision
- Regular workshops and training programs
- Mandatory vaccination of students (e.g., Hepatitis B)¹²

Educators must act as role models by demonstrating correct IPC practices. Institutional infection control policies should extend to student training areas, including community postings.

Implications for Nursing Practice and Public Health

Improving IPC knowledge and practice among nursing students has wide-ranging implications:

- Reduction in healthcare-associated infections
- Enhanced patient safety
- Decreased occupational hazards
- Strengthened public trust in healthcare services
- Improved quality of community healthcare delivery¹¹

Conclusion

Infection Prevention and Control is a cornerstone of safe nursing practice, particularly in community health settings where resource limitations and exposure risks are high. Although nursing students possess moderate theoretical knowledge, practical adherence to IPC guidelines remains inconsistent.

Comprehensive educational interventions, structured supervision, and institutional commitment are essential to bridge the gap between knowledge and practice. Strengthening IPC competencies during undergraduate training will ensure safer healthcare delivery and contribute significantly to public health outcomes in India.

Financial support and sponsorship: Nil

Conflicts of interests: There is no conflict of interest

References

1. World Health Organization. Infection prevention and control. Geneva: WHO; 2022.
2. Allegranzi B, Pittet D. Role of hand hygiene in healthcare-associated infection prevention. *J Hosp Infect.* 2009;73(4):305-15.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guide to infection prevention in outpatient settings. Atlanta: CDC; 2019.
4. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. National guidelines for infection prevention and control. New Delhi: MoHFW; 2020.
5. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on hand hygiene in health care. Geneva: WHO; 2009.
6. Sharma S, Gupta A. Knowledge and practice of infection control among nursing students. *Int J Nurs Educ.* 2018;10(3):45-50.
7. Siegel JD, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, Chiarello L. 2007 guideline for isolation precautions. *Am J Infect Control.* 2007;35(10):S65-164.
8. CDC. Standard precautions for all patient care. Atlanta: CDC; 2020.
9. WHO. Transmission-based precautions for infectious diseases. Geneva: WHO; 2018.
10. Kumar R, Singh K. Infection control practices in primary health centers. *Indian J Public Health.* 2017;61(2):123-7.
11. Allegranzi B, Nejad SB. Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection worldwide. *Lancet.* 2011;377(9761):228-41.
12. Prüss-Üstün A, Rapiti E, Hutin Y. Estimation of global burden of disease from sharps injuries. *Am J Ind Med.* 2005;48(6):482-90.
13. Kaur R, Raze H. Hand hygiene compliance among nursing students. *Nurse Educ Today.* 2019;79:172-7.
14. Gupta P, Mehta S. Effectiveness of structured teaching program on infection control. *Int J Community Med Public Health.* 2020;7(5):1890-5.
15. Basak S, Saha A. Impact of educational intervention on infection prevention practices. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2016;10(11):LC10-4.

